arXiv:0705.2148v5 [math.DS] 16 Jan 2009

PREDICTABILITY, ENTROPY AND INFORMATION OF INFINITE TRANSFORMATIONS

Jon. Aaronson & Kyewon Koh Park

Abstract. We show that a certain type of quasi finite, conservative, ergodic , measure preserving transformation always has a maximal zero entropy factor, generated by predictable sets. We also construct a conservative, ergodic , measure preserving transformation which is not quasi finite; and consider distribution asymptotics of information showing that e.g. for Boole's transformation, information is asymptotically mod-normal with normalization $\propto \sqrt{n}$. Lastly we see that certain ergodic, probability preserving transformations with zero entropy have analogous properties and consequently entropy dimension of at most $\frac{1}{2}$.

§0 `Introduction`

Let $(X,\mathcal{B},m,T)$ be a conservative, ergodic , measure preserving transformation and let $\mathcal{F} := \{F \in \mathcal{B} : \ m(F) < \infty\}$.

Call a set $A \in \mathcal{F}$ *T-predictable* if it is measurable with respect to its own past in the sense that $A \in \sigma(\{T^{-n}A : \ n \geq 1\})$ (the σ-algebra generated by $\{T^{-n}A : \ n \geq 1\}$) and let $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_T := \{T\text{-}\mathtt{predictable\ sets}\}$.

If $m(X) < \infty$, Pinsker's theorem ([Pi]) says that

- $\mathcal{P}_T$ is the `maximal, zero-entropy factor algebra`

i.e. $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{B}$ is a factor algebra (T-invariant, sub-σ-algebra), $h(T,\mathcal{P}) = 0$ (see §1) and if $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{B}$ is a factor algebra, with $h(T,\mathcal{C}) = 0$, then $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$. $\mathcal{P}$ is aka the *Pinsker algebra* of $(X,\mathcal{B},m,T)$.

When $(X,\mathcal{B},m,T)$ is a conservative, ergodic , measure preserving transformation with $m(X) = \infty$, the above statement fails and indeed $\sigma(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{B}$: Krengel has shown ([K2]) that:

- $\forall \ A \in \mathcal{F}, \ \epsilon > 0, \ \exists \ B \in \mathcal{F}, \ m(A\Delta B) < \epsilon$, a *strong generator* in the sense that $\sigma(\{T^{-n}B : \ n \geq 1\}) = \mathcal{B}$, whence $\sigma(\mathcal{P}_T) = \mathcal{B}$.

It is not known if there is always a maximal, zero-entropy factor algebra (in case there is some zero-entropy factor algebra).

We recall the basic properties of `entropy` in §1 and define the class of *log lower bounded* conservative, ergodic , measure preserving transformations in §2.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37A40, 60F05).

Key words and phrases. measure preserving transformation; conservative; ergodic; entropy; quasi finite; predictable set; entropy dimension.

©2007 Preliminary version.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$-TEX

These are quasi finite in the sense of [K1] and are discussed in §2 in this context where also examples are constructed including a conservative, ergodic, measure preserving transformation which is not quasi finite.

A log lower bounded conservative, ergodic , measure preserving transformation with some zero-entropy factor algebra has a maximal, zero-entropy factor algebra generated by a specified hereditary subring of predictable sets (see §5).

We obtain information convergence (in §4) for quasi finite transformations (cf [KS]).

For quasi finite, pointwise dual ergodic transformations with regularly varying return sequences, we obtain (in §6) distributional convergence of information. Lastly, we construct a probability preserving transformation with zero entropy with analogous distributional properties and estimate its `entropy dimension` in the sense of [FP]. This example is unusual in that it has a generator with information function asymptotic to a non degenerate random variable (the `range of Brownian motion`).

§1 `Entropy`

We recall the basic entropy theory of a probability preserving transformation $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P, S)$. Let $\alpha \subset \mathcal{A}$ be a countable partition.

- The *entropy* of α is $H(\alpha) := \sum_{a\in\alpha} P(a)\log\frac{1}{P(a)}$;
- the *S-join of α from k to ℓ* (for $k < \ell$) is

$$\alpha_k^\ell(S) := \{\bigcap_{j=k}^{\ell} S^{-j}a_j :\ a_k, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_\ell \in \alpha\}.$$

- By subadditivity, $\exists\ \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}H(\alpha_0^{n-1}(S)) =: h(S,\alpha)$ (the *entropy*[1] *of S with respect to α*).
- The *entropy of S* with respect to the *factor algebra* (S-invariant, σ-algebra), $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{A}$ is $h(S,\mathcal{C}) := \sup_{\alpha\subset\mathcal{C}} h(S,\alpha)$.
- By the generator theorem, if α is a partition, then $h(S,\alpha) = h(S,\sigma(\{S^n\alpha :\ n \in \mathbb{Z}\}))$.
- The *information* of the countable partition $\alpha \subset \mathcal{A}$ is the function $I(\alpha) : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$I(\alpha)(x) := \log\frac{1}{P(\alpha(x))}$$

where $\alpha(x) \in \alpha$ is defined by $x \in \alpha(x) \in \alpha$. Evidently

$$H(\alpha) = \int_\Omega I(\alpha)dP.$$

- **Convergence of information** is given by the celebrated Shannon- McMillan-Breiman theorem (see [S], [M], [Br] respectively), the statement ($\mathfrak{I}$) here being due to Chung [C] (see also [IT]).

---

[1] mean entropy rate

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P, S)$ be an ergodic probability preserving transformation and let α be a partition with $H(\alpha) < \infty$, then

$$\tfrac{1}{n} I(\alpha_1^N(S)) \ \longrightarrow \ h(S, \alpha) \ \text{ a.s. as } n \to \infty; \tag{$\mathfrak{I}$}$$

equivalently $P(\alpha_1^N(S)(x)) = e^{-nh(S,\alpha)(1+o(1))}$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ as $n \to \infty$ where $x \in \alpha_1^N(S)(x) \in \alpha_1^N(s)$.

- We'll need **Abramov's formula** for the entropy of an induced transformation of an ergodic probability preserving transformation $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P, S)$:

$$h(S_A) = \tfrac{1}{P(A)} h(S) \ \forall \ A \in \mathcal{A}$$

where $S_A : A \to A$ is the *induced transformation* on A defined by

$$S_A x := S^{\varphi_A(x)} x, \ \varphi_A(x) := \min\{n \geq 1 : \ S^n x \in A\} \quad (x \in A).$$

- Abramov's formula can be proved using convergence of information (see [Ab] and §4 here).

KRENGEL ENTROPY. Suppose that $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T)$ is a conservative, ergodic , measure preserving transformation then using Abramov's formula (as shown in [K1])

$$m(A)h(T_A) = m(B)h(T_B) \quad \forall \ A, B \in \mathcal{F} := \{F \in \mathcal{B}, \ 0 < m(F) < \infty\}.$$

Set $\underline{h}(T) := m(A)h(T_A)$, (any $A \in \mathcal{B}, \ 0 < m(A) < \infty$) – the *Krengel entropy of* T.

More generally, the *Krengel entropy of* T *with respect to the factor* (i.e. σ-finite, T-invariant sub-σalgebra) $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{B}$ is

$$\underline{h}(T, \mathcal{C}) := m(A)h(T_A, \mathcal{C} \cap A) \quad (A \in \mathcal{C}, \ 0 < m(A) < \infty).$$

- Another definition of entropy is given in [Pa].

It is shown in [Pa] that for *quasi finite* (see §2 below) conservative, ergodic , measure preserving transformations, the two entropies coincide.

§2 `Quasifiniteness and Log lower boundedness`

QUASIFINITENESS.

Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T)$ be a conservative, ergodic , measure preserving transformation .

Recall from [K1] that a set $A \in \mathcal{F}$ is called *quasi finite* (qf) if $H_A(\rho_A) < \infty$ where $\rho_A := \{A \cap T^{-n}A \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{n-1} T^{-j}A : \ n \geq 1\}$ and that T is so called if $\exists$ such a set. As shown in proposition 7.1 in [K1],

- for $A \in \mathcal{F}$ quasi finite, $A \in \mathcal{P}_T \iff h(T_A, \rho_A) = 0$.

There are conservative, ergodic , measure preserving transformation s which are not quasi finite. An unpublished example of such by Ornstein is mentioned in [K2, p. 82].

Here we construct a conservative, ergodic , measure preserving transformation with no quasi finite extension. To do this we first establish a saturation property for the collection of quasi finite sets:

Proposition 2.0.

Suppose that $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T)$ is a conservative, ergodic , quasi finite, measure preserving transformation , then $\forall\ F \in \mathcal{F},\ \exists\ A \in \mathcal{B} \cap F$ such that $m(A) > 0$ and such that each $B \in \mathcal{B} \cap A$ is quasi finite.

Proof We show first that

¶1 *if $F \in \mathcal{F}$ is quasi finite, then $\forall\ \epsilon > 0,\ \exists\ A \in \mathcal{B} \cap F$ such that $m(F \setminus A) < \epsilon$ and such that each $B \in \mathcal{B} \cap A$ is quasi finite.*

Proof By $(\mathfrak{I})$, $\frac{1}{n} I((\rho_F)_0^{n-1}(T_F)) \to h(T_F, \rho_F)$ a.e. as $n \to \infty$. By Egorov's theorem, $\exists\ A \in \mathcal{B} \cap F$ such that $m(F \setminus A) < \epsilon$ and such that the convergence is uniform on A.

For $B \in \mathcal{B} \cap A$, let $N_{n,B} := \#\{a \in (\rho_F)_0^{n-1}(T_F) :\ m(a \cap B) > 0\}$ (where $\#F$ means the number of elements in the set F), then $N_{n,B} = e^{nh(T_F,\rho_F)(1+o(1))}$ as $n \to \infty$.

Define $\psi : B \to \mathbb{N}$ by $\psi(x) := \min\{n \geq 1 :\ T_F^n x \in B\}$, then

- $\int_B \psi dm = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nm([\psi = n]) = m(F) < \infty$ (by Kac's formula);
- $\varphi_B(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\psi(x)-1} \varphi_F(T_F^j x)$ whence

$$\rho_B \prec \gamma_B := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{[\psi = n] \cap a :\ a \in (\rho_F)_0^{n-1}(T_F)\}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} H_{m_B}(\rho_B) &\leq H_{m_B}(\gamma_B) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_B([\psi = n]) H_{m_{[\psi=n]}}((\rho_F)_0^{n-1}(T_F)) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_B([\psi = n]) \log N_{n,B} < \infty \ \because\ \log N_{n,B} \sim nh(T_F, \rho_F). \checkmark \quad \square ¶1 \end{aligned}$$

To complete the proof, let $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Suppose that $Q \in \mathcal{F}$ is quasi finite, then evidently so is $T^{-n}Q\ \forall\ n \geq 1$. By ergodicity, $\exists\ n \geq 1$ such that $m(F \cap T^{-n}Q) > 0$. By ¶1, $\exists\ G \in \mathcal{B} \cap T^{-n}Q$ such that $m(T^{-n}Q \setminus G) < \epsilon := \frac{m(F \cap T^{-n}Q)}{9}$ and such that each $B \in \mathcal{B} \cap G$ is quasi finite. The set $A = G \cap F$ is as required. $\square$

Example 2.1. Let $(X_0, \mathcal{B}_0, m_0, T_0)$ be the conservative, ergodic , measure preserving transformation defined as in [Fr] by the cutting and stacking construction

$$B_0 = 1,\ B_n = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{N_n} B_{n-1} 0^{L_{n,k}}$$

where $N_n,\ L_{n,k}\ 1 \leq k \leq N_n$ satisfy

$$N_{n+1} \geq e^{nN_1 \dots N_n}, \quad L_{n,k+1} > \sum_{j=1}^{k} L_{n,j} + kh_{n-1},$$

where $h_n := |B_n|$.

Proposition 2.1.

No extension T of the conservative, ergodic , measure preserving transformation T_0 defined in example 2.1 is quasi finite.

Proof Suppose otherwise, that $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T)$ is a (WLOG) conservative, ergodic extension of T_0 and that $F \in \mathcal{F}$ is quasi finite, then evidently so is $T^n F \ \forall\ n \geq 1$. By proposition 2.0 $\exists\ A \in \mathcal{B},\ A \subset B_0$ quasi finite. We'll contradict this (and therefore the assumption that $\exists\ F \in \mathcal{F}$ quasi finite).

¶1 Write $B_n = \bigcup_{j=0}^{h_n-1} T^j b_n$ where $b_n \subset B_0,\ m(b_n) = \frac{1}{N_1 N_2 \ldots N_n}$ and $B_n = \biguplus_{k=1}^{N_{n+1}} B_n^{(k)} = \biguplus_{k=1}^{N_{n+1}} T^{\kappa(n+1,k)} B_n^{(1)}$ where $\kappa(n+1,1) = 0$ and $\kappa(n+1,k) = (k-1)|B_n| + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} L_{n+1,j}$ (i.e. the $B_n^{(k)}$ $(1 \leq k \leq N_{n+1})$ are the subcolumns of B_n appearing in B_{n+1}).

¶2 For $n \geq 1$, let $\mathfrak{k}_n := \{0 \leq j \leq h_n - 1 :\ T^j b_n \subset B_0\}$, then
$B_0 = \biguplus_{j \in \mathfrak{k}_n} T^j b_n$, $|\mathfrak{k}_n| = N_1 N_2 \ldots N_n$ and
for $x \in b_n$, $\{T_{B_0}^k x\}_{k=0}^{N_1 N_2 \ldots N_n - 1} = \{T^j x :\ j \in \mathfrak{k}_n\}$.

¶3 Fix $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{3}$ and let

$$b_{n,\epsilon} := \{x \in b_{n+1} :\ |\tfrac{1}{|\mathfrak{k}_{n+1}|} \sum_{k \in \mathfrak{k}_{n+1}} 1_A(T^k x) - m(A)| < \epsilon m(A)\}.$$

By ¶2 above, for $x \in b_{n+1}$,

$$\tfrac{1}{|\mathfrak{k}_{n+1}|} \sum_{k \in \mathfrak{k}_{n+1}} 1_A(T^k x) = \tfrac{1}{N_1 N_2 \ldots N_{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{N_1 N_2 \ldots N_n - 1} 1_A(T_{B_0}^k x)$$

and a standard argument using the ergodic theorem for T_{B_0} shows that $\exists\ M$ so that $m(b_{n,\epsilon}) > (1-\epsilon) m(b_{n+1})\ \ \forall\ n \geq M$.

¶4 Fix $n \geq M$ and $x \in b_{n+1}$, let $\mathfrak{k}_{A,n,x} := \{k \in \mathfrak{k}_{n+1} :\ T^k x \in A\}$ and $A_{n,x} := \{T^j x\}_{j \in \mathfrak{k}_{A,n,x}}$, then for $x \in b_{n,\epsilon}$,

$$\#\{1 \leq k \leq N_{n+1} :\ A_{n,x} \cap B_n^{(k)} \neq \phi\} \geq (1-e) m(A) \tfrac{|\mathfrak{k}_{n+1}|}{h_n} = (1-\epsilon) m(A) N_{n+1}.$$

- For $n \geq M,\ x \in b_{n,\epsilon}$, write

$$\{1 \leq k \leq N_{n+1} :\ A_{n,x} \cap B_n^{(k)} \neq \phi\} =: \{\kappa_i(x) :\ 1 \leq i \leq \nu\}$$

where $\nu - 1 > (1-\epsilon) m(A) N_{n+1}$ and $\kappa_i(x) < \kappa_{i+1}(x)\ \forall\ i$.

- For $1 \leq i \leq \nu$, let $\mathfrak{k}_{A,n,x}^{(i)} := \{k \in \mathfrak{k}_{n+1} :\ T^k b_{n+1} \subset A_{n,x} \cap B_n^{(\kappa_i)}\}$ and let $\underline{m}_i := \min \mathfrak{k}_{A,n,x}^{(i)},\ \overline{m}_i := \max \mathfrak{k}_{A,n,x}^{(i)};\ y_i := \overline{m}_{i+1} - \underline{m}_i,\ \ (1 \leq i \leq \nu - 1)$. Note that $y_i \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\kappa_i} L_{n+1,j} + \kappa_i h_n < L(n+1, \kappa_i + 1) \leq L(n+1, \kappa_{i+1}) \leq y_{i+1}$.

¶5 For $K \subset \mathfrak{k}_{n+1}$, let $a_K := \{x \in b_{n+1},\ \mathfrak{k}_{A,n,x} = K\}$ and let

$$\beta_n := \{a_K :\ K \subset \mathfrak{k}_{n+1}\}, \quad \alpha_n := \{\widehat{a} := \bigcup_{j \in \mathfrak{k}_n} T^j a :\ a \in \beta_n\}.$$

- For $a \in \beta_n,\ a \subset b_{n,\epsilon},\ 1 \le i \le \nu - 1$,

$m(a \cap [\varphi_A = y_i(a)]) = \frac{m(a)}{N_1 \dots N_{n+1}}$.

- Thus

$$\begin{aligned} H(\rho_A) &\ge H(\rho_A \| \alpha_n) \\ &\ge \sum_{a \in \beta_n,\ a \subset b_{n,\epsilon}} m(a) \sum_{i=1}^{\nu-1} m([\varphi_A = y_i(a)] | a) \log \tfrac{1}{m([\varphi_A = y_i(a)]|a)} \\ &\ge m(\widehat{b}_{n,\epsilon}) \tfrac{(\nu-1)\log(N_{n+1})}{N_1 \dots N_{n+1}} \\ &\ge (1-\epsilon)^2 m(A) \tfrac{\log N_{n+1}}{N_1 N_2 \dots N_n} \\ &> (1-\epsilon)^2 m(A) n \uparrow \infty. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Log lower boundedness.

For $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T)$ a conservative, ergodic , measure preserving transformation ; set

$$\mathcal{F}_{\log,T} := \{A \in \mathcal{B} :\ 0 < m(A) < \infty,\ \int_A \log \varphi_A dm < \infty\}.$$

- Note that

$\mathcal{F}_{\log,T} \subset \{$`quasi finite sets`$\}$; because

$$(\bigstar) \qquad p_n \ge 0,\ \sum_{n=1} p_n \log n < \infty \implies \sum_{n=1} p_n \log \frac{1}{p_n} < \infty.$$

- Call T log-*lower bounded* (LLB) if $\mathcal{F}_{\log,T} \ne \emptyset$.

Proposition 2.2.

(i) *T is LLB iff* $\frac{1}{\log n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f \circ T^n \to \infty$ *a.e. as $n \to \infty$ for some and hence all* $f \in L^1(m)_+ := \{f \in L^1,\ f \ge 0,\ \int_X f dm > 0\}$;

(ii) T is not LLB iff $\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{\log n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f \circ T^n = 0$ *a.e. for some and hence all* $f \in L^1_+$;

(iii) *If $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T)$ is LLB and $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{B}$ is a factor, then $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{F}_{\log,T} \ne \emptyset$.*

(iv) *$\mathcal{F}_{\log,T}$ is a hereditary ring.*

Proof Statements (i) and (ii) follow from theorem 2.4.1 in [A] and (iii) follows from these. We prove (iv).

Suppose that $A \in \mathcal{F}_{\log,T},\ B \in \mathcal{B},\ B \subset A$, then $\varphi_B(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\psi(x)-1} \varphi_A(T_A^k x) \quad (x \in B)$ where $\psi : B \to \mathbb{N},\ \psi(x) := \min\{n \ge 1 :\ T_A^n x \in B\}$.

By Kac formula,

$$\int_B \sum_{k=0}^{\psi-1} f \circ T_A^k dm = \int_A f dm \ \forall\ f \in L^1(m).$$

To see that $B \in \mathcal{F}_{\log,T}$, we use this and $\log(k+\ell) \le \log(k) + \log(\ell)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_B \log \varphi_B dm &= \int_B \log(\sum_{k=0}^{\psi-1} \varphi_A \circ T_A^k) dm \\ &\le \int_B \sum_{k=0}^{\psi-1} \log(\varphi_A \circ T_A^k) dm \\ &= \int_A \log \varphi_A dm < \infty.\end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $A, B \in \mathcal{F}_{\log,T}$, then $\varphi_{A\cup B} \le 1_A \varphi_A + 1_B \varphi_B$ whence

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{A\cup B} \log(\varphi_{A\cup B}) dm &= \int_A \log(\varphi_{A\cup B}) dm + \int_B \log(\varphi_{A\cup B}) dm \\ &\le \int_A \log(\varphi_A) dm + \int_B \log(\varphi_B) dm \\ &< \infty. \quad \Box\end{aligned}$$

§3 `Examples of LLB transformations`

POINTWISE DUAL ERGODIC TRANSFORMATIONS.

A conservative, ergodic , measure preserving transformation $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T)$ is called *pointwise dual ergodic* if there is a sequence of constants $(a_n(T))_{n\ge1}$
(called the *return sequence* of T) so that

$$\tfrac{1}{a_n(T)} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \widehat{T}^k f \to \int_X f dm \quad \text{a.e. for some (and hence all)} \quad f \in L^1(m)_+$$

where $\widehat{T} : L^1(m) \to L^1(m)$ is the *transfer operator* defined by

$$\int_A \widehat{T} f dm = \int_{T^{-1}A} f dm \quad (f \in L^1(m),\ A \in \mathcal{B}).$$

See [A, 3.8].

Proposition 3.1.

Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T)$ be a pointwise dual ergodic, conservative, ergodic , measure preserving transformation , then T is LLB $\iff \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n a_n(T)} < \infty$.

Proof

Let $A \in \mathcal{F}$ be a *uniform set* in the sense that for some $f \in L^1(m)_+$

$$\tfrac{1}{a_n(T)} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \widehat{T}^k f \to \int_X f dm \ \text{ uniformly on } \ A.$$

By lemma 3.8.5 in [A],

$$\int_A (\varphi_A \wedge n) dm = m(\bigcup_{k=0}^n T^{-k} A) \asymp \tfrac{n}{a_n(T)}$$

whence

$$A \in \mathcal{F}_{\log} \iff \sum_{n=1}^\infty \tfrac{m(\bigcup_{k=0}^n T^{-k} A)}{n} < \infty \iff \sum_{n=1}^\infty \tfrac{1}{n a_n(T)} < \infty. \quad \Box$$

Remarks.

1) For example, the simple random walk on $\mathbb{Z}$ is LLB ($\because\ a_n(T) \propto \sqrt{n}$); whereas the simple random walk on $\mathbb{Z}^2$ is not LLB ($\because\ a_n(T) \propto \log n$).

2) It is not known whether the simple random walk on $\mathbb{Z}^2$ is quasi finite, or even has a factor with finite entropy.

Example 3.2.

There is a quasi finite, conservative, ergodic, Markov shift $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T)$ *with* $a_n(T) \asymp \sqrt{\log n}$.

- Note that by proposition 3.1, this T is not LLB.

Proof of example 3.2 : Let $f_{4^{4^n}} := \frac{1}{2^n}$ $n \ge 1$ and $f_k := 0\ \forall\ k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus 4^{4^{\mathbb{N}}}$, then $f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$.

- Let $\Omega := \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and let $P = f^{\mathbb{Z}} \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega))$ be product measure, then $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega), P, S)$ in an ergodic, probability preserving transformation where $S : \Omega \to \Omega$ is the shift.
- Define $\varphi : \Omega \to \mathbb{N}$ by $\varphi(\omega) := \omega_0$ and let $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T)$ be the tower over $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega), P, S)$ with height function φ.
- It follows that $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T)$ is a conservative, ergodic , Markov shift with $a_n(T) \asymp \sum_{k=0}^n u_k$ where u is defined by the renewal equation: $u_0 = 1,\ u_n = \sum_{k=1}^n f_k u_{n-k}$.
- To see that $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T)$ is quasi finite, we check that Ω is quasi finite. Indeed

$$H_\Omega(\rho_\Omega) = \sum_{k\ge 1,\ f_k>0}^{\infty} f_k \log \tfrac{1}{f_k} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tfrac{n \log 2}{2^n} < \infty.$$

- To estimate $a_n(T)$, recall that by lemma 3.8.5 in [A], $a_n(T) \asymp \frac{n}{L(n)}$ where

$$L(n) := m\Big(\bigcup_{k=0}^{n} T^{-k}\Omega\Big) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{\ell=k+1}^{\infty} f_\ell.$$

Now,

$$\sum_{\ell=k+1}^{\infty} f_\ell = \sum_{n>\log_4 \log_4 k} \tfrac{1}{2^n} \asymp \tfrac{1}{2^{\log_4 \log_4 k}} = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{\log_4 k}}.$$

Thus $L(n) \asymp \frac{n}{\sqrt{\log n}}$ and $a_n(T) \asymp \sqrt{\log n}$. ☐

THE HAJIAN-ITO-KAKUTANI TRANSFORMATIONS.

- Let $\Omega = \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}},\ \ell(\omega) := \min\{n \ge 1 :\ \omega_n = 0\}$ and let $\tau : \Omega \to \Omega$ be the *adding machine* defined by

$$\tau(1, \dots, 1, 0, \omega_{\ell(\omega)+1}, \dots) := (0, \dots, 0, 1, \omega_{\ell(\omega)+1}, \dots).$$

For $p \in (0,1)$, define $\mu_p \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ by $\mu_p([a_1, \dots, a_n]) := p_{a_1} \dots p_{a_n}$ where $p_0 := 1-p,\ p_1 := p$. It follows that $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu_p, \tau)$ is an ergodic, nonsingular transformation with $\frac{d\mu_p \circ \tau}{d\mu_p} = (\frac{1-p}{p})^{\phi}$ where $\phi := \ell - 2$.

Now let $X := \Omega \times \mathbb{Z}$ and define $T : X \to X$ by $T(x, n) = (\tau x, n + \phi(x))$. For $p \in (0,1)$, define $m_p \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$ by $m_p(A \times \{n\}) := \mu_p(A)(\frac{1-p}{p})^{-n}$.

As shown in [HIK] (see also [A]) $T_p = (X, \mathcal{B}, m_p, T)$ is a conservative, ergodic , measure preserving transformation (aka the *Hajian-Ito-Kakutani* transformation). The entropy is given by $\underline{h}(T_p) = h((T_p)_{\Omega\times\{0\}}) = 0$ by [MP] since $(T_p)_{\Omega\times\{0\}}$ is the Pascal adic transformation.

Proposition 3.3.
$(X,\mathcal{B},m_p,T)$ is LLB $\forall\ 0<p<1$.

Proof As in the proof of proposition 5.1 in [A1],

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} 1_{\Omega\times\{0\}}\circ T^k(x,0) &= \#\{0\le k\le 2^n-1:\ \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\phi(\tau^j x)=0\}\\ &\ge \#\{0\le K\le n-1:\ \sum_{j=0}^{2^K-1}\phi(\tau^j x)=0\}\end{aligned}$$

Now $\sum_{j=0}^{2^K-1}\phi(\tau^j x)=\phi(S^K x)$ where $S:\Omega\to\Omega$ is the shift, and so

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} 1_{\Omega\times\{0\}}\circ T^k(x,0)\ge \#\{0\le K\le n-1:\ \phi(S^K x)=0\}\sim(1-p)n$$

for μ_p-a.e. $x\in\Omega$ by Birkhoff's theorem for the ergodic, probability preserving transformation $(\Omega,\mathcal{B}(\Omega),\mu_p,S)$. The LLB property now follows from proposition 2.2. ☐

- Let $\mathfrak{G}$ be the Polish group of measure preserving transformation s of $(\mathbb{R},\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}),m_{\mathbb{R}})$ equipped with the weak topology.

Proposition 3.4.
The collection of LLB measure preserving transformation s is meagre in $\mathfrak{G}$.

Proof Let

$$\pounds := \{T\in\mathfrak{G}:\ \exists\ n_k\to\infty,\ \tfrac{S_{n_k}(f)}{\log n_k}\to 0 \text{ a.e. } \forall\ f\in L^1\}$$

where $S_n(f)=S_n^T(f):=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f\circ T^j$.
By proposition 2.2, it suffices to show that $\pounds$ is a dense G_δ set in $\mathfrak{G}$.

By example 3.2, $\exists$ a conservative, ergodic , measure preserving transformation $T\in\pounds$. $\pounds$ is conjugacy invariant, and so dense in $\mathfrak{G}$ by the conjugacy lemma (e.g. 3.5.2 in [A]).

To see that $\pounds$ is a G_δ set, let

- $P\sim m$ be a probability;
- fix $\{A_n:\ n\in\mathbb{N}\}\subset\mathcal{F}:=\{A\in\mathcal{B}: m(A)<\infty\}$ so that $\sigma(\{A_n:\ n\in\mathbb{N}\})=\mathcal{B}$ and let

$$\pounds' := \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty}\bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty}\bigcap_{\nu=1}^{k}\{T\in\mathfrak{G}:\ P([S_n(1_{A_\nu})>\tfrac{1}{k}\log n])<\frac{1}{2^k}\},$$

then $\pounds'$ is a G_δ. We claim $\pounds'=\pounds$.

Evidently,

$$\pounds' = \{T\in\mathfrak{G}:\ \exists\ n_k\to\infty \text{ such that } \tfrac{S_{n_k}(1_{A_\nu})}{\log n_k}\to 0 \text{ a.e. } \forall\ \nu\ge 1\}$$

whence $\pounds' \supset \pounds$.

Now suppose that $T \in \pounds'$, that $\frac{S_{n_k}(1_{A_\nu})}{\log n_k} \to 0$ a.e. $\forall\ \nu \geq 1$ and let $f \in L^1$. Evidently $\frac{S_n(f)}{\log n} \to 0$ a.e. on $\mathfrak{D}$, the dissipative part of T. The conservative part of T is

$$\mathfrak{C} = \bigcup_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \hat{A}_\nu \ \texttt{where}\ \hat{A}_\nu := [\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1_{A_\nu} \circ T^n = \infty].$$

By Hopf's theorem, $\frac{S_n(f)(x)}{S_n(1_{A_\nu})(x)} \to h_\nu(f)$ a.e. on A_ν $\forall\ \nu \geq 1$ where $h_\nu(f) \circ T = h_\nu(f)$ and $\int_{A_\nu} h_\nu(f) dm = \int_X f dm$, whence, a.e. on $\hat{A}_\nu$,

$$\frac{S_{n_k}(f)}{\log n_k} = \frac{S_{n_k}(f)}{S_{n_k}(1_{A_\nu})} \cdot \frac{S_{n_k}(1_{A_\nu})}{\log n_k} \to 0. \quad \square$$

§4 `Information convergence`

Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T)$ be a conservative, ergodic , measure preserving transformation .

- A countable partition $\xi \subset \mathcal{B}$ is called *cofinite* if $\exists\ A = A_\xi \in \mathcal{F}$ with $A^c \in \xi$. We call A^c the *cofinite atom* of ξ and A the *(finite) core* of ξ..
- If $\xi \subset \mathcal{B}$ is cofinite, then $\xi_k^\ell(T)$ is also cofinite, with core $A_{\xi_k^\ell(T)} = \bigcup_{j=k}^{\ell} T^{-j} A$.

The T-process generated by a cofinite partition ξ restricted to its core A is given by

Krengel's formula [K1]:

$$(\mathfrak{K}) \qquad \xi_1^{\varphi_n(x)}(T)(x) \ = \ (\rho_A \vee ((\xi \cap A) \vee \rho_A)_1^n(T_A))(x) \text{ for a.e. } x \in A$$

where for $x \in X$, α a partition of X, $\alpha(x)$ is defined by $x \in \alpha(x) \in \alpha$;
$\varphi_n(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varphi_A(T_A^k x)$; and
$\rho_A := \{A \cap T^{-n} A \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} T^{-k} A :\ n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

- A cofinite partition $\xi \subset \mathcal{B}$ is called *quasi-finite* (qf) if $A = A_\xi$ is quasi finite and $H_A(\xi) < \infty$.
- Note that ξ quasi finite $\Rightarrow H_A(\xi \vee \rho_A \vee T_A\, \rho_A) < \infty$.

CONVERGENCE OF INFORMATION FOR QUASI FINITE PARTITIONS.

Proposition 4.1 (c.f. [KS]).

Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T)$ be a conservative, ergodic , measure preserving transformation , let $\xi \subset \mathcal{B}$ be a quasi finite partition and let $p \in L^1(m)$, $p > 0$, $\int_X p dm = 1$, then for a.e. $x \in X$,

$$\frac{1}{S_n(p)(x)} I(\xi_1^n(T))(x) \to \underline{h}(T, \xi)$$

where $S_n(p)(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p(T^k x)$ and $I(\xi_1^n(T))(x) := \log \frac{1}{m(\xi_1^n(T)(x))}$.

Proof Let A be the core of ξ and set $\varsigma := (\xi \cap A) \vee \rho_A$, then by $(\mathfrak{K})$

$$\varsigma_0^{s_n(x)}(T_A)(x) \subseteq \xi_1^n(T)(x) \subseteq \varsigma_1^{s_n(x)-1}(T_A)(x) \ \text{ a.e. } x \in A$$

where $x \in \xi(x) \in \xi$, $s_n := S_n(1_A)$.

- By $(\mathfrak{I})$, for T_A, a.e. on A, $I(\varsigma_1^N(T_A)) \sim Nh(T_A, \varsigma)$, whence for a.e. $x \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned}\log \tfrac{1}{m(\xi_1^n(T)(x))} &\sim \log \tfrac{1}{m(\varsigma_1^{s_n(x)}(T_A)(x))} \\ &\sim s_n(x)h(T_A, \varsigma) \\ &\sim S_n(p)(x)m(A)h(T_A, \varsigma) \\ &= S_n(p)(x)\underline{h}(T, \xi).\end{aligned}$$

We obtain convergence a.e. on $\bigcup_{k=0}^N T^{-k}A$ by substituting $\xi_1^N(T)$ for ξ; whence convergence a.e. on X as $\bigcup_{k=0}^N T^{-k}A \uparrow X$. $\square$

- Abramov's formula is proved analogously in case $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T)$ is an ergodic, probability preserving transformation. As in [Ab]:

$$h(T, \xi) \xleftarrow{(\mathfrak{I})} \tfrac{1}{n} \log \tfrac{1}{m(\xi_1^n(T)(x))} \approx \tfrac{1}{n} s_n(x)h(T_A, \varsigma) \xrightarrow{\text{Birkhoff's PET}} m(A)h(T_A, \varsigma).$$

§5 Pinsker algebra

Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T)$ be a LLB, conservative, ergodic , measure preserving transformation .

Define

$$\mathcal{F}_\Pi := \{A \in \mathcal{F}_{\log,T} : \ A \in \sigma(\{T^{-k}A : \ k \geq 1\})\} = \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{F}_{\log,T}.$$

In this section, we show that (in case $\mathcal{F}_\Pi \neq \emptyset$) $\mathcal{B}_\Pi := \sigma(\mathcal{F}_\Pi)$ is the maximal zero entropy factor of T.

To do this, we'll need

Krengel's predictability lemma. *[K1]:*

Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T)$ be a quasi finite, conservative, ergodic , measure preserving transformation , let $\xi \subset \mathcal{B}$ be a quasi finite partition with core A, and let $\zeta = \xi \cap A$, then

$$\xi \subset \xi_1^\infty(T) \mod m \iff h(T_A, \zeta \vee \rho_A) = 0.$$

In particular

$$A \in \sigma(\{T^{-n}A : \ n \geq 1\}) \iff h(T_A, \rho_A) = 0.$$

- For $F \in \mathcal{F}$, set

$$\mathcal{P}_F = \mathcal{P}_{T_F} := \{A \in \mathcal{B} \cap F : \ A \in \sigma(\{T_F^{-k}A : \ k \geq 1\})\}.$$

By Pinsker's theorem ([Pi]),

- $\mathcal{P}_F$ is a T_F-factor algebra of subsets of F, $h(T_F, \mathcal{P}_F) = 0$ and
- if $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B} \cap F$ is another T_F-factor algebra of subsets of F with $h(T_F, \mathcal{A}) = 0$, then $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}_F$.

Theorem 5.1.

(i) $\mathcal{F}_\Pi$ *is a ring and* $\mathcal{F}_\Pi \cap F = \mathcal{P}_F \ \forall \ F \in \mathcal{F}_\Pi$.

(ii) *If $\mathcal{F}_\Pi \neq \emptyset$, then $\sigma(\mathcal{F}_\Pi)$ is the maximal factor of zero entropy.*

`Proof.`

¶1 Let $A \in \mathcal{F}_{\log}$. By Krengel's predictability lemma, $F \in \mathcal{F}_\Pi$ iff $h(T_F, \rho_F) = 0$.
Thus, $F \in \mathcal{F}_\Pi$ iff $\exists$ a factor $\mathcal{B}_0$ with $F \in \mathcal{B}_0$ and $\underline{h}(T, \mathcal{B}_0) = 0$.

¶2 Next, fix $F \in \mathcal{F}_\Pi$. We claim that $\rho_F \subseteq \mathcal{P}_F$. This is because $F \in \mathcal{F}_\Pi \Rightarrow h(T_F, \rho_F) = 0$.

¶3 We now show that $\mathcal{P}_F \subseteq \mathcal{F}_\Pi \cap F \ \forall \ F \in \mathcal{F}_\Pi$.

Proof: Fix $F \in \mathcal{F}_\Pi$ and let $\mathcal{B}_0 := \sigma\{T^n A : \ n \in \mathbb{Z}, \ A \in \mathcal{P}_F\}$, then $\mathcal{B}_0$ is a factor, $F \in \mathcal{B}_0$ and $\mathcal{B}_0 \cap F = \mathcal{P}_F$. Thus $\underline{h}(T, \mathcal{B}_0) = h(T_F, \mathcal{P}_F) = 0$ and by ¶1 $\mathcal{P}_F \subseteq \mathcal{F}_\Pi \cap F$.☺

¶4 Now we claim that $A, B \in \mathcal{F}_\Pi \ \Rightarrow \ A \cup B \in \mathcal{P}_F$.

Proof: Set $C := A \cup B$, then $C \in \mathcal{F}_{\log,T}$. Set $\zeta := \{A \cap B, A \setminus B, B \setminus A\}$ and $\xi := \zeta \cup \{C^c\}$. By ($\mathfrak{K}$),

$$\xi_1^\infty(T) \cap C = \rho_C \vee (\zeta \vee \rho_C)_1^\infty(T_C).$$

By assumption, $\zeta \subset \xi_1^\infty(T) \cap C$, whence also $\rho_C \subset \xi_1^\infty(T) \cap C$. Thus

$$\zeta \vee \rho_C \subset \rho_C \vee (\zeta \vee \rho_C)_1^\infty(T_C); \quad \therefore \ \zeta \vee \rho_C \vee T_C\,\rho_C \subset (\zeta \vee \rho_C \vee T\rho_C)_1^\infty(T_C),$$

and (using $H_C(\zeta \vee \rho_C \vee T_C\,\rho_C) < \infty$) we have

$$h(T_C, \rho_C) \le h(T_C, \zeta \vee \rho_C \vee T_C\,\rho_C) = 0$$

whence $C \in \sigma(\{T^{-k}C : \ k \ge 1\})$ and $C \in \mathcal{F}_\Pi$. ☺

¶5 Now we show that $\mathcal{F}_\Pi$ is a ring by proving that $A, B \in \mathcal{F}_\Pi \ \Rightarrow \ \zeta := \{A \cap B, A \setminus B, B \setminus A\} \subset \mathcal{F}_\Pi$.

Proof. By ¶3, it suffices to show that $\zeta \subset \mathcal{P}_C$ where $C := A \cup B$. To see this, fix $a \in \zeta$, then

$$h(T_C, \{a, C \setminus a\}) \le h(T_C, \zeta) \le h(T_C, \zeta \vee \rho_C \vee T_C\,\rho_C) = 0$$

(as above) and $a \in \mathcal{P}_C$. ☺

¶6 To complete the proof of (i), we show that $\mathcal{F}_\Pi \cap F \subseteq \mathcal{P}_F \ \forall \ F \in \mathcal{F}_\Pi$.

Proof: Fix $F \in \mathcal{F}_\Pi, \ A \in \mathcal{F}_\Pi \cap F$. Let $\zeta := \{A, F \setminus A\}, \ \xi := \zeta \cup \{F^c\}$.

By the ring property, $A \in \mathcal{F}_\Pi$, whence $\xi \subset \xi_1^\infty(T) \mod m$. By proposition 4, $h(T_F, \zeta \vee \rho_F) = 0$, whence

$$h(T_F, \zeta) \le h(T_F, \zeta \vee \rho_F) = 0$$

and $A \in \mathcal{P}_F$.☺

¶7 To see (ii), fix $F \in \mathcal{F}_\Pi$, then by (i), $\mathcal{F}_\Pi \cap F = \mathcal{P}_F = \mathcal{F}_\Pi \cap F \cap F$ whence $\underline{h}(T, \sigma(\mathcal{F}_\Pi)) = m(F) h(T_F, \mathcal{P}_F) = 0$ and if $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{B}$ is a factor with $\underline{h}(T, \mathcal{C}) = 0$, then by ¶1, $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{F}_{\log} \subset \mathcal{F}_\Pi$, whence $\mathcal{C} \subset \sigma(\mathcal{F}_\Pi)$. □

§6 `Asymptotic distribution of information with infinite invariant measure`

Pointwise dual ergodic transformations.

Let $(X,\mathcal{B},m,T)$ be a pointwise dual ergodic measure preserving transformation and assume that the return sequence $a_n = a_n(T)$ is regularly varying with index α $(\alpha \in [0,1])$, then by the Darling-Kac theorem (theorem 3.6.4 in [A] – see also references therein),

(☿)
$$\tfrac{1}{a_n}S_n^T(f) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{d}} \int_X f\,dm \cdot X_\alpha \ \text{ as } n \to \infty\ \forall\ f \in L^1(m)_+$$

where

- X_α is a Mittag-Leffler random variable of order α normalised so that $E(X_\alpha) = 1$; and
- $F_n \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{d}} Y$ means

$$\int_X G(F_n)dP \to E(G(Y))\ \forall\ P \in \mathcal{P}(X,\mathcal{B}),\ P \ll m,\quad G \in C([0,\infty]).$$

Note that $X_1 \equiv 1$, X_0 has exponential distribution and for $\alpha \in (0,1)$, $X_\alpha = \frac{1}{Y_\alpha^\alpha}$ where $E(e^{-tY_\alpha}) = e^{-ct^\alpha}$ (some $c = c_\alpha > 0$). In particular $X_{\frac{1}{2}} = |\mathcal{N}|$ where $\mathcal{N}$ is a centered Gaussian random variable on $\mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 6.1. *Suppose that $(X,\mathcal{B},m,T)$ is a quasi finite, pointwise dual ergodic measure preserving transformation and assume that the return sequence $a_n = a_n(T)$ is regularly varying with index α $(\alpha \in [0,1])$.*

If $\xi \subset \mathcal{B}$ is quasi finite, then

$$\tfrac{1}{a_n(T)} \log \tfrac{1}{m(\xi_1^n(T)(x))} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{d}} \underline{h}(T,\xi)X_\alpha$$

as $n \to \infty$.

Proof This follows from proposition 4.1 and (☿). ☐

Example 6.2: `Boole's transformation.`

Let $(X,\mathcal{B},m,T)$ be given by $X = \mathbb{R}$, $m =$ Lebesgue measure and $Tx = x - \frac{1}{x}$, then T (see [A]) is a pointwise dual ergodic, measure preserving transformation with $a_n(T) \sim \frac{\sqrt{2n}}{\pi}$, so $\mathcal{F}_\Pi \neq \emptyset$ and T is LLB, whence quasi finite.

- By Proposition 6.1, if $\xi \subset \mathcal{B}$ is quasi finite, then

(♋)
$$\tfrac{1}{a_n(T)} \log \tfrac{1}{m(\xi_1^n(T)(x))} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{d}} \underline{h}(T,\xi)|\mathcal{N}|$$

as $n \to \infty$.

§7 `Analogous properties of probability preserving transformations`

The last part of this paper is devoted to the construction of an ergodic, probability preserving transformation having a generating partition with properties analogous to (♋). The "measure theoretic invariant" related to this is `entropy dimension` as in [FP].

Let $(\mathbb{T}, \mathcal{T}, m_{\mathbb{T}}, R)$ be an irrational rotation of the circle (equipped with Borel sets and Lebesgue measure).

Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$ satisfy the weak invariance principle i.e. $B_n(t) \longrightarrow B(t)$ in distribution on $C([0,1])$ where B is Brownian motion and

$$B_n(t) := f_{[nt]-1} + (nt - [nt]) f \circ T^{[nt]}$$

(where $f_k := \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} f \circ R^j$). Existence of such $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$ is shown in [V].

- In particular,

$$\tfrac{L_n}{\sqrt{n}}, \ \tfrac{R_n}{\sqrt{n}} \quad \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{d}} \quad |\mathcal{N}|, \quad \tfrac{L_n + R_n}{\sqrt{n}} \quad \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{d}} \quad \mathcal{R}$$

where $R_n := \max_{1 \le k \le n} f_k$, $L_n := \max_{1 \le k \le n}(-f_k)$ and $\mathcal{R} := \max_{t \in [0,1]} B(t) - \min_{t \in [0,1]} B(t)$.

The random variable $\mathcal{R}$ is known as the *range of Brownian motion.* Its (non-Gaussian) distribution of is calculated in [Fe].

Let $(Y, \mathcal{C}, \mu, S)$ be the 2-shift with generating partition $Q = \{Q_0, Q_1\}$ and symmetric product measure.

Let $\rho : Y \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $\rho = \alpha_0 1_{Q_0} + \alpha_1 1_{Q_1}$ where $\alpha_0 < \alpha_1$, $\int_Y \rho d\mu = 1$ and $\alpha_0, \ \alpha_1$ are rationally independent, then the *special flow* (under ρ) $(Y^\rho, \mathcal{C}^\rho, q, S^\rho)$ is Bernoulli where

$$Y^\rho := \{(y,s) : \ y \in Y, \ s \in [0, \rho(y))\}, \ \mathcal{C}^\rho := \mathcal{C} \times \text{Lebesgue}, \ q := \mu \times \lambda,$$

and

$$S_t^\rho(y,s) := (S^n y, s + t - \rho_n(y))$$

where $0 \le s + t - \rho_n(y) < \rho(S^n y)$, $\rho_n := \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \rho \circ S^j$.

- Note that the "`vertical`" partition $\overline{Q} := \{\overline{Q}_0, \overline{Q}_1\}$ where $\overline{Q}_i := Q_i \times [0, \alpha_i)$ $(i = 0, 1)$ generates $\mathcal{C}$ under S^ρ.

Define the probability preserving transformation $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T)$ by

(☎) $X := \mathbb{T} \times Y^\rho, \ m = m_{\mathbb{T}} \times q, \ \mathcal{B} := \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{C}^\rho, \ T(x,(y,s)) := (R(x), S^\rho_{f(x)}(y,s)).$

For P a finite partition of $\mathbb{T}$ into intervals (which generates $\mathcal{T}$ under R), define the partition $\xi = \xi_P$ of X by

(⛢) $$\xi(\omega, y, s) := P(\omega) \times \Bigg(\bigvee_{t \in \ \iota(0, f(\omega))} S^\rho_{-t} \overline{Q} \Bigg)(y,s)$$

where for $x, y \in \ \mathbb{R}$, $\iota(x,y) := [x \wedge y, x \vee y]$ (the closed interval joining x and y). Next, we show that that ξ is measurable and $H(\xi) < \infty$.

Proposition 7.1.
The partition ξ is measurable, generates $\mathcal{B}$ under T, $H(\xi) < \infty$ and

$$(⯓) \qquad \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} I(\xi_0^{n-1}(T)) \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{\mathfrak{d}} h(S^\rho)\mathcal{R}$$

where $\mathcal{R}$ is the range of Brownian motion.

Proof The proof is in stages. We claim first that

$$(♪) \qquad \xi_0^{n-1}(T)(\omega, y, s) = P_0^{n-1}(R)(\omega) \times \Big(\bigvee_{t \in [-L_n(\omega), R_n(\omega)]} S^\rho_{-t} t\overline{Q} \Big)(y, s).$$

Proof of (♪): Note that for $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(T^{-n}\xi)(\omega, y, s) &= \xi(R^n(\omega), S^\rho_{f_n(\omega)}(y, s)) \\
&= P(R^n(\omega)) \times \Big(\bigvee_{t \in \iota(0, f(R^n(\omega))} S^\rho_{-t} t\overline{Q} \Big)(S^\rho_{f_n(\omega)}(y, s)) \\
&= P(R^n(\omega)) \times \Big(\bigvee_{t \in \iota(f_n(\omega), f_n(\omega) + f(R^n(\omega))} S^\rho_{-t} t\overline{Q} \Big)(y, s) \\
&= P(R^n(\omega)) \times \Big(\bigvee_{t \in \iota(f_n(\omega), f_{n+1}(\omega))} S^\rho_{-t} t\overline{Q} \Big)(y, s).
\end{aligned}$$

To continue, we need the following (elementary) proposition:
¶ Let $a_n \in \mathbb{R}$ $(n \geq 1)$ then $\bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} \iota(s_k, s_{k+1}) = [m_n, M_n]$ where $a_0 := 0$, $s_n := \sum_{k=0}^n a_k$, $m_n := \min_{0 \leq k \leq n} s_k$, $M_n := \max_{0 \leq k \leq n} s_k$.

To finish the proof of (♪):

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_0^{n-1}(T)(\omega, y, s) &= \bigvee_{k=0}^{n-1} T^{-k}\xi(\omega, y, s) \\
&= \bigcap_{k=0}^{n-1} P(R^k(\omega)) \times \Big(\bigvee_{t \in \iota(f_k(\omega), f_{k+1}(\omega))} S^\rho_{-t} t\overline{Q} \Big)(y, s) \\
&= P_0^{n-1}(R)(\omega)) \times \Big(\bigvee_{t \in \bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} \iota(f_k(\omega), f_{k+1}(\omega))} S^\rho_{-t} t\overline{Q} \Big)(y, s) \\
&\overset{¶}{=} P_0^{n-1}(R)(\omega) \times \Big(\bigvee_{t \in [-L_n(\omega), R_n(\omega)]} S^\rho_{-t} \overline{Q} \Big)(y, s). \quad \square \text{ (♪)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now consider $\rho_n : Y \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\rho_n(y) := \begin{cases} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \rho(S^k y) & n > 0, \\ 0 \quad n > 0, \\ \sum_{k=1}^{|n|} \rho(S^{-k} y) & n < 0, \end{cases}$$

then $\rho_n(y) < \rho_{n+1}(y)$ and $\forall\ y \in Y$, $\rho_n(y) \to \pm\infty$ as $n \to \pm\infty$.

For $y \in Y$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, define $[t]_y \in \mathbb{Z}$ be so that $\rho_{[t]_y}(y) \le t < \rho_{[t]_y+1}(y)$.

It follows that for $t \in \mathbb{R}$:

- $\frac{|t|}{\alpha_1} - 1 \le |[t]_y| \le \frac{|t|}{\alpha_0}$; and
- $S^\rho_t(y, s) = (S^{[s+t]_y} y, s + t - \rho_{[s+t]_y}(y))$.

Our next claim is that

$$(♫) \qquad \xi_0^{n-1}(T)(\omega, y, s) = P_0^{n-1}(R)(\omega) \times Q_{[s-L_n(\omega)]_y}^{[s+R_n(\omega)]_y}(S)(y) \times \eta_n(\omega, y)(s)$$

where for each $(\omega, y) \in \Omega \times Y$, $\eta_n(\omega, y)$ is a partition of $[0, \rho(y))$ into at most $\frac{R_n(\omega)+L_n(\omega)+1}{\alpha_0}$ intervals.

Proof of (♫).

Fixing $(\omega, y, s) \in X$ and $n \ge 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Bigg(\bigvee_{t\in[-L_n(\omega),R_n(\omega)]} S^\rho_{-t}\overline{Q}\Bigg)(y,s) &= \bigcap_{t\in[-L_n(\omega),R_n(\omega)]} \overline{Q}(S^\rho_t(y,s)) \\
&= \bigcap_{t\in[-L_n(\omega),R_n(\omega)]} Q(S^{[s+t]_y}y) \times [0, \rho(S^{[s+t]_y}y)) \\
&= \bigcap_{j\in[[s-L_n(\omega)]_y,[s+R_n(\omega)]_y]} S^{-j}Q(y) \times \eta_n(\omega, y, s) \\
&= Q_{[s-L_n(\omega)]_y}^{[s+R_n(\omega)]_y}(S)(y) \times \eta_n(\omega, y)(s).
\end{aligned}$$

where for each $(\omega, y) \in \Omega \times Y$, $\eta_n(\omega, y)$ is a partition of $[0, \rho(y))$ into at most $[R_n(\omega)]_y] - [-L_n(\omega)]_y \le \frac{R_n(\omega)+L_n(\omega)+1}{\alpha_0}$ intervals. ☐ (♫).

- Observation of (♫) with $n = 1$ shows that

$$\xi(\omega, y, s) := P(\omega) \times Q_{-\nu_-(\omega,y,s)}^{\nu_+(\omega,y,s)}(S)(y) \times \eta_1(\omega, y)(s)$$

where

$$\nu_+(w, y, s) = [s + f(\omega) \vee 0]_y, \quad \nu_-(w, y, s) = [s + f(\omega) \wedge 0]_y.$$

Thus, ξ is measurable.

Moreover, writing $\mathcal{Z} := \{[\nu_- = k,\ \nu_+ = \ell] :\ k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
I(\xi|\mathcal{Z})(\omega, y, s) &= I(P)(\omega) + I(Q_{[s+f(\omega)\wedge 0]_y}^{[s+f(\omega)\vee 0]_y})(S)(y) + I(\eta_1(\omega, y)(s) \\
&\le I(P)(\omega) + ([s + f(\omega) \wedge 0]_y + [s + f(\omega) \vee 0]_y) \cdot \log 2 + \log \tfrac{1+|f(\omega)|}{\alpha_0} \\
&\le I(P)(\omega) + \tfrac{|f(\omega)|+1}{\alpha_0} \cdot \log 2 + \log \tfrac{1+|f(\omega)|}{\alpha_0}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$H(\xi|\mathcal{Z}) \le H(P) + \tfrac{\log 2}{\alpha_0}(\|f\|_1 + 1) + \int_\Omega \log \tfrac{1+|f|}{\alpha_0} dm < \infty.$$

Now $|\nu_\pm(\omega,y,s)| \le \frac{|f(\omega)|+1}{\alpha_0}$ and

$$(\nu_+(w,y,s),\nu_-(w,y,s)) = \begin{cases} ([s+f(\omega)\vee 0]_y, 0) & f(\omega)\ge 0, \\ (0,[s+f(\omega)\wedge 0]_y) & f(\omega)<0; \end{cases}$$

whence using (★) (see page 6) $H(\mathcal{Z})<\infty$ and

$$H(\xi) = H(\xi|\mathcal{Z}) + H(\mathcal{Z}) < \infty.$$

- Since ξ is measurable, (♪) now shows that it generates $\mathcal{B}$ under T.
- To establish (♅), we claim that for a.e. (x,y,s), for any $\epsilon>0$, for sufficiently large $n=n(x,y,s)$,

$$\begin{aligned} P_0^{n-1}(R)(x)\times Q_{-L_n(x)(1+\epsilon)}^{R_n(x)(1+\epsilon)}(S)(y)\times \eta_n(x,y)(s) &\subseteq \xi_0^{n-1}(T)(x,y,s) \\ &\subseteq P_0^{n-1}(R)(x)\times Q_{-L_n(x)(1-\epsilon)}^{R_n(x)(1-\epsilon)}(S)(y)\times\eta_n(x,y)(s) \end{aligned} \tag{$\clubsuit$}$$

where for each $(\omega,y)\in\Omega\times Y$, $\eta_n(\omega,y)$ is a partition of $[0,\rho(y))$ into at most $\frac{R_n(\omega)+L_n(\omega)+1}{\alpha_0}$ intervals.

Proof of (♣): For a.e. $(x,y,s)\in X$, $R_n(x),\ L_n(x)\uparrow\ \infty$ and $\rho_n(y)\sim n$, whence $|[s-L_n(x)]_y|\sim L_n(\omega)$ and $[s+R_n(\omega)]_y\sim R_n(x)$. (♣) follows from (♫) using this. ☐

- We claim next that $\forall\ (x,y)\in\mathbb{T}\times Y$,

$$\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(I(P_0^{n-1}(R))+I(\eta_n(x,y))\xrightarrow{m}0. \tag{☼}$$

Proof $\#\eta_n(x,y)\le\mathcal{E}_n(x):=\frac{R_n(x)+L_n(x)+1}{\alpha_0}$ and $\#P_0^{n-1}(R)\le Mn$ for some $M>0,\ \forall\ n\ge 1$, whence

$$m([I(P_0^{n-1}(R))\ge t\sqrt{n}])\le\tfrac{1}{t\sqrt{n}}H(P_0^{n-1}(R))\lesssim\tfrac{\log n}{t\sqrt{n}}\ \to 0 \text{ as } n\to\infty$$

and $\forall\ (x,y)$,

$$m([I(\eta_n(x,y)(s))]]) \ge t\sqrt{n}])\le\tfrac{1}{t\sqrt{n}}H(\eta_n(x,y))\le\tfrac{\log\mathcal{E}_n(x)}{t\sqrt{n}}\xrightarrow{m}0 \text{ as } n\to\infty$$

proving (☼). ✓

Using (♣), (☼) and ($\mathfrak{I}$) for S we have, as $n\to\infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{n}}I(\xi_0^{n-1}(T))(x,y,s) &= \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{n}}I(Q_{-L_n(x)(1+o(1))}^{R_n(x)(1+o(1))}(S))(y)+O(\tfrac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}) \\ &= \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(L_n(x)+R_n(x))\log 2(1+o(1))+O(\tfrac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\mathfrak{d}}\mathcal{R}\log 2 \\ &= \mathcal{R}h(S^\rho). \quad \square\ (♅) \end{aligned}$$

Estimation of entropy dimension.

Let $(Z, \mathcal{D}, \nu, R)$ be a probability preserving transformation and let $P \subset \mathcal{D}$ be a countable partition of Z.

As in [FP], let for $n \geq 1,\ \epsilon > 0,\ a = \bigcap_{k=0}^{n-1} R^{-k} a_k \in P_0^{n-1}(R)$,

$$B(n, P, a, \epsilon) := \bigcup_{a' \in P_0^{n-1}(R),\ \overline{d}(a,a') < \epsilon} a$$

where $\overline{d}(a, a') := \frac{1}{n}\#\{0 \leq k \leq n-1 :\ a_k \neq a'_k\}$ is Hamming distance, and let

$$K(P, n, \epsilon) := \min\{\#F :\ F \subset P_0^{n-1}(R),\ \nu(\bigcup_{a \in F} B(n, P, a, \epsilon)) > 1 - \epsilon\}.$$

- The ergodic, probability preserving transformation is said to have *upper entropy dimension* $\Delta \in [0, 1]$ if for some countable, measurable generating partition P with finite entropy (and hence – as proved in [FP]– for all such),

$$\overline{\lim_{n \to \infty}} \tfrac{\log \log K(P,n,\epsilon)}{\log n} \underset{\epsilon \to 0}{\longrightarrow} \Delta.$$

Proposition 7.2. *Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T)$ be as in (☎), then the upper entropy dimension is at most $\frac{1}{2}$.*

Proof Let $\xi = \xi_P$ be as in (⛢) and let $h = h(S^\rho)$. For $n \geq 1,\ J \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ an interval bounded away from 0 and ∞, define $\xi_n(J) := \{a \in \xi_0^{n-1}(T) :\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \log \frac{1}{m(a)} \in hJ\}$.

¶ We claim that $\#\xi_n(J) \sim E(1_J(\mathcal{R}) e^{h\mathcal{R}\sqrt{n}}) e^{o(\sqrt{n})}$ as $n \to \infty$.

Proof Suppose that $J = [r - \delta, r + \delta]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} P(\mathcal{R} \in J) &\longleftarrow m([\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{n}} I(\xi_0^{n-1}(T)) \in hJ]) \\ &= \sum_{a \in \xi_n(J)} m(a) \\ &= \#\xi_n(J) e^{-h\sqrt{n}(r \pm \delta)} \end{aligned}$$

(because $m(a) = e^{-h\sqrt{n}(r \pm \delta)}\ \forall\ a \in \xi_n(J)$); whence

$$E(e^{h\sqrt{n}(\mathcal{R} - 2\delta)} 1_J(\mathcal{R})) \lesssim \#\xi_n(J) \lesssim E(e^{h\sqrt{n}(\mathcal{R} + 2\delta)} 1_J(\mathcal{R})).$$

Using this on a decomposition of J into a finite union of disjoint short enough intervals proves $\#\xi_n(J) = E(e^{h\sqrt{n}\mathcal{R}} 1_J(\mathcal{R})) e^{\pm\epsilon\sqrt{n}}\ \forall\ \epsilon > 0$, whence ¶. $\square$

- Evidently $K(\xi, n, \epsilon) \leq \#\xi_n([\frac{1}{M}, M])$ for some $M = M_\epsilon > 0$ whence $K(\xi, n, \epsilon) \leq e^{c_\epsilon \sqrt{n}(1 + o(1))}$ and $\overline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log \log K(\xi,n,\epsilon)}{\log n} \leq \frac{1}{2}\ \forall\ \epsilon > 0$. $\square$

`Remark on the lower bound.`

The upper estimate for the entropy dimension follows from the the weak invariance principle for the “`random walk`” f_n. In a similar manner, a lower estimate would follow from an analogous result for the “`local time`” of the random walk. Such a result is not available for the present example. However, such considerations show that the “`relative entropy dimension`” of an aperiodic, centered random walk in random scenery over its Bernoulli factor is 1/2.

References

[A] Aaronson, Jon., *An introduction to infinite ergodic theory*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 50., American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.

[A1] ———, *The intrinsic normalising constants of transformations preserving infinite measures*, J. D'Analyse Math. **49** (1987), 239-270.

[AL] ———; Lemańczyk, M., *Exactness of Rokhlin endomorphisms and weak mixing of Poisson boundaries*, Contemp. Math. **385** (2005), 77–87.

[Ab] Abramov, L.M., *Entropy of a derived automorphism*, Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR **128** (1959), 647- 650 [Russian]; Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. II **49** (1960), 162-176.

[AR] ———; Rohlin, V. A., *Entropy of a skew product of mappings with invariant measure*, Vestnik Leningrad. Univ. **17** (1962), No. 7, 5–13.

[Br] Breiman, L., *The individual ergodic theorem of information theory*, Ann. Math. Statist. **28** (1957), 809–811.

[C] Chung, K. L., *A note on the ergodic theorem of information theory*, Ann. Math. Statist. **32** (1961), 612–614.

[Fe] Feller, W., *The asymptotic distribution of the range of sums of independent random variables*, Ann. Math. Statistics **22** (1951), 427–432.

[FP] Ferenczi, S; Park, K. K, *Entropy dimensions and a class of constructive examples*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **17** (2007, no. 1), 133–141.

[Fr] Friedman, N. A., *Introduction to Ergodic Theory*, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1970.

[HIK] Hajian, Arshag; Ito, Yuji; Kakutani, Shizuo, *Invariant measures and orbits of dissipative transformations*, Advances in Math. **9** (1972), 52–65.

[IT] Ionescu Tulcea, A., *Contributions to information theory for abstract alphabets.*, Ark. Mat. **4** (1961), 235–247.

[KS] Klimko, E. M.; Sucheston, Louis, *On convergence of information in spaces with infinite invariant measure*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete **10** (1968), 226–235.

[K1] Krengel, Ulrich, *Entropy of conservative transformations*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete **7** (1967), 161–181..

[K2] ———, *On certain analogous difficulties in the investigation of flows in a probability space and of transformations in an infinite measure space*, Functional Analysis (Caroll O'Wilde, ed.), Proc. Sympos., Monterey, Calif., 1969, Academic Press, New York, 1970, pp. 75–91.

[LL] Lemańczyk, M.; Lesigne, E., *Ergodicity of Rokhlin cocycles*, J. Anal. Math. **85** (2001), 43–86.

[M] McMillan, B., *The basic theorems of information theory*, Ann. Math. Statistics **24** (1953), 196–219.

[MP] Mela, X; Petersen, K, *Dynamical properties of the Pascal adic transformation*, Ergod. Th. and dynam. sys. **25** (2005), 227–256.

[Pa] Parry, W., *Entropy and generators in ergodic theory*, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam, 1969.

[Pi] Pinsker, M. S., *Dynamical systems with completely positive or zero entropy.*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **133**, 1025–1026 (Russian); Soviet Math. Dokl. **1** (1960), 937–938.

[S] Shannon, C. E., *A mathematical theory of communication*, Bell System Tech. J. **27** (1948), 379–423, 623–656.

[V] Volny, D., *Invariance Principles and Gaussian Approximation for Strictly Stationary Processes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **351** (1999), No. 8, 3351-3371.

[Aaronson] School of Math. Sciences, Tel Aviv University, 69978 Tel Aviv, Israel.

E-mail address: `aaro@ tau.ac.il`

[Park] Dept. of Math., Ajou University, Suwon 442-729, South Korea.

E-mail address: `kkpark@ ajou.ac.kr`